Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46675 Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1 RN101671105

Docket No. 2013-0763-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Clark Store 1, 2901 Franklin Avenue, Waco, McLennan County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: January 3, 2014

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$8,504

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,700 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$189 Total Due to General Revenue: \$6,615

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$189 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - N/A

Site/RN - Unclassified

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: December 12, 2012 and April 9, 2013

Date(s) of NOE(s): April 9, 2013

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46675 Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1 RN101671105 Docket No. 2013-0763-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the undergroud storage tank ("UST") system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 3. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual piping tightness and line leak detector testing [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)].
- 4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(1)(B)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. Conducted the annual piping tightness and line leak detector testing on December 21, 2012, with passing results;
- b. Implemented a proper release detection method for all USTs at the Facility on April 30, 2013;
- c. Submitted documentation demonstrating that all UST records are being maintained at the Facility on June 5, 2013; and
- d. Repaired the corrosion protection system at the Facility and tested the system on July 1, 2013, with passing results.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46675 Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1 RN101671105 Docket No. 2013-0763-PST-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Keith Frank, Enforcement Division, Enforcement

Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-1203; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division, MC 219,

(512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Moughees Hayat, President, Burney Enterprises Inc, 2901 Franklin

Avenue, Waco, Texas 76710-7314

Respondent's Attorney: William F. (Fred) Brown, 210 North Sixth Street, Waco,

Texas 76701



	Penalty Calculation	Worksheet (PC	PCW Revision Au	aust 3 2011			
Policy Revision 3 (Se	epternber 2011)		T CW REVISION AL	9031 3, 2011			
DATES Assigned	15-Apr-2013						
PCW		EPA Due	7				
PCW	20-N0V-2013 Screening 17-Apr-2013	EPA Due	1				
RESPONDENT/FACILI	TV INCODMATION			3/14/4/14/14/5/ 04/4/HTV			
	Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1						
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.							
		Major/Minor Source	Minor				
Facility/Site Region	3-Waco	A DATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Timor				
CASE INFORMATION							
Enf./Case ID No.	16675	No. of Violations	রি				
Docket No.	2013-0763-PST-E	Order Type					
	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit					
- • • •	Petroleum Storage rank	Enf. Coordinator		-			
Multi-Media			Enforcement Team 7	- :			
	in it Minimum [do] Mayimum		Emorcement ream?	·			
Admin. Penalty \$ I	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum [\$25,000					
***************************************		*		*			
	Penalty Calculat	ion Section					
TOTAL BASE DENA	LTY (Sum of violation base penalt	ies)	Subtotal 1	\$8,750			
TOTAL BASE PENA	ETT (Sum of violation base penale	ies)	Jubiota, 1				
ADJUSTMENTS (+	/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1						
Subtotals 2-7 are of	ptained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1)	by the indicated percentage.					
Compliance Hi		Enhancement Subto	tals 2, 3, & 7	\$0			
compilative in			1				
Notes	No adjustment for complian	ce history.					
Notes	No dajastnient for complian						
Culpability	No 0.0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0			
Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.							
	ŢŸ						
Good Faith Eff	ort to Comply Total Adjustments		Subtotal 5	\$375			
Economic Ben		Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0			
		at the Total EB \$ Amount					
Approx	Cost of Compliance \$8,118						
		and the second second		\$8,375			
SUM OF SUBTOTA	LS 1-7	<i>F</i>	inal Subtotal	\$6,373			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
OTHER FACTORS	AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	1.5%	Adjustment	\$129			
Reduces or enhances the Fina	Subtotal by the indicated percentage.		1				
	Recommended enhancement to capture the	avoided cost of compliance					
Notes	associated with violation						
	associated With Violation						
		Final Pen	alty Amount	\$8,504			
STATUTORY LIMI	T ADJUSTMENT	Final Asse	ssed Penalty	\$8,504			
C.M.O.O. Latita	हा न केन्स्य च्या आरोप्पण हात्र केन्स्य केन्स्य हात्र हात्र । १००० १००० १००० १००० १००० १००० १००० १	a transcription of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
DEEEDDAI	and the second of the second o	20.0% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$1,700			
DEFERRAL	inalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter gumber ash)		Aujustineiit	727.00			
Reduces the Final Assessed Pe	enalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e	z.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)					
English Control	D-6	Lastilamant					
Notes	Deferral offered for expedited settlement.						

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$6,804

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 17-Apr-2013

Docket No. 2013-0763-PST-E

Respondent Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1

Case ID No. 46675

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101671105

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

		ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)		Adiust
	Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs		Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
		Other written NOVs	0	0%
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
ı	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	Ò	0%
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
٤		Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
		Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
٠		Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 2)
ep I	eat Violator (Subtotal 3) Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 3)
on		ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
	N/A	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 7) [
on	npliance Histo	ry Summary		
	Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		
		Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S		

		Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1 Policy	PCW Revision 3 (September 2011)
Reg.	Case ID No Ent. Reference No Media [Statute Enf. Coordinato Violation Numbe	RN101671105 Petroleum Storage Tank Keith Frank	PCW Revision August 3, 2011
	Rule Cite(s	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)	
	Violation Description	Failed to provide corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST system.	")
		Base Pen	alty \$25,000
>> Env	ironmental, Prope	rty and Human Health Matrix Harm	
OR	Releas Actua		
	Potentia	X Percent 15.0%	
>>Prog	rammatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	raisincation	Percent 0.0%	
		the analysis will be exceed to collutants which would exceed to	vole
	Matrix Human healt Notes that are	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed le rotective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	AC19
	And the second s	Adjustment \$21,	250]
	화면 - 일하고 기를 만난다고 -	a recognition of the control of the	\$3,750
	an early on a large and the second		**************************************
Violatio	on Events		
	Number of	Violation Events 1 8 Number of violation days	
		daily weekly	
	mark only one	monthly x	1ty \$3,750
	with an x	semiannual Violation Base Pena	arcy \$3,750
	4	annual single event	
	<u></u>		
	One monthl	vevent is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the April 2013 record review to the April 17, 2013 screening date.	9,
Good Fa	aith Efforts to Con	DIV 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
		Extraordinary	
		Ordinary N/A (mark with x)	
		Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
		Violation Subto	tal \$3,750
Econon	nic Benefit (EB) fo	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
	Estima	red EB Amount \$231 Violation Final Penalty To	tal \$3,808
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limi	ts) \$3,808

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1 Case ID No. 46675 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101671105 Years of Media Petroleum Storage Tank **Percent Interest** Depreciation Violation No. 1 5.0 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB** Amount Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** \$231 1-Jul-2013 \$220 \$6,000 12-Dec-2012 0.55 \$11 Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Buildings 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 \$0 Engineering/construction \$0 0.00 n/a \$0 Land 0.00 \$0 n/a Record Keeping System \$0 \$0 Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Permit Costs 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 0.00 \$0 n/a Other (as needed) Estimated cost to install a corrosion protection system and test the system. Date Required is the Notes for DELAYED costs investigation date. Final Date is the date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** \$0 0.00 Disposal 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel \$0 0.00 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs

\$6,000

Approx. Cost of Compliance

TOTAL

Screening Date	S (CAMPED CO.	PCW
		on 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.		Revision August 3, 2011
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and Tex. Water Code §	
	26.3475(a) and (c)(1)	
Violation Description	Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual piping tightness and line leak detector testing.	
	Base Penalty [\$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Release		
OR Actua Potentia		
, otolicio		- THE PARTY OF THE
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0%	
	Percent 0.076	
Face Control of the C		de la constante de la constant
Matrix Human healt Notes that are p	h or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	***
Notes that are)	Noticetive of Haman records of Chinamineneas receptors as a record of the Molecular	11
	Adjustment \$21,250	
	Adjustment \$21,250	
		\$3,750
Violation Events		*
Molacion Exones		
Number of	Violation Events 1 8 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	\$3,750
One monthl	y event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the April 9, 2013 record review to the April 17, 2013 screening date.	1. CONTRACTOR CONTRACT
Good Faith Efforts to Com	10.0% Reduction	\$375
Good Paith Enoits to Com	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary Extraordinary	1000
	Ordinary X	
	N/A (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent came into compliance by April 30, 2013, prior to the initial settlement offer dated May 2, 2013.	
	Violation Subtotal	\$3,375
Economic Benefit (EB) for		
Estimal	ted EB Amount \$158 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$3,427
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$3,427

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1

Case ID No. 46675

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101671105

Media Petroleum Storage Tank

Violation No. 2

Percent Interest Depreciation

5.0

Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB** Amount Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal

Permit Costs Other (as needed)

			Al Lag			4.5
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
\$1,500	12-Dec-2012	30-Apr-2013	0.38	\$29	n/a	\$29

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to provide release detection for the USTs. Date Required is the investigation date. Final Date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)

Notes for AVOIDED costs

ANNUAL	.IZE [1] avoided	costs before	entering	item (except	for one-time avoi	ded costs)
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	. \$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$118	12-Dec-2011	21-Dec-2012	1.95	\$11	\$118	\$129
	7		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Estimated avoided cost for conducting the annual piping tightness and line leak detector tests. Date Required is one year prior to the investigation date. Final Date is the date of compliance.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,618

TOTAL \$158

Respondent Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1 Case ID No. 46675	Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101671105 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank Violation Number 3	
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(1)(B)	
Violation Description Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspecupon request by agency personnel.	tion
Base Pen	alty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix	
Harm Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual	
Potential Percent 0.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor	
X Percent 5.0%	
Matrix 100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes	
Adjustment \$23,	750
	\$1,250
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 1 8 Number of violation days	
daily weekly	
mark only one with an x semiannual Violation Base Pena	\$1,250
single event x	
One single event is recommended.	:
Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	\$0
Ordinary	
N/A X (mark with x)	
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
Violation Subto	tal \$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$12 Violation Final Penalty To	tal \$1,269
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limit	ts) \$1,269

Economic Benefit Worksheet Respondent Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1 Case ID No. 46675 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101671105 Percent Interest Depreciation Years of Media Petroleum Storage Tank Violation No. 3 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB** Amount Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** \$0 \$0 \$0 Equipment 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 Buildings Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 Engineering/construction \$0 0.00 \$0 n/a Land 12-Dec-2012 \$500 5-Jun-201 n/a \$12 Record Keeping System 0.48 \$12 \$0 0.00 Training/Sampling \$0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 0.00 n/a Other (as needed) Estimated cost to maintain UST records. Date Required is the investigation date. Final Date is the date of Notes for DELAYED costs compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** 0.00 \$0 Disposal 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0.00 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 0.00 Other (as needed) \$0

\$500

TOTAL

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN604141994, RN101671105, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, CN604141994, Burney Enterprises Inc.

Classification: NOT APPLICABLE

Rating: N/A

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN101671105, Clark Store 1

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Rating: ----

Complexity Points:

Repeat Violator: NO

3

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

2901 FRANKLIN AVE WACO, TX 76710-7314, MCLENNAN COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 09 - WACO

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION

REGISTRATION 13055

Rating Year: 2012

Rating Date: 09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: April 17, 2013

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: April 17, 2008 to April 17, 2013

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Keith Frank

Phone: (512) 239-1203

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

occur?

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
BURNEY ENTERPRISES INC	ŝ	TEAAS COMMISSION ON
DBA CLARK STORE 1	§	•
RN101671105	8	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
, •		~

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-0763-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

____, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1 ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent, represented by William F. ("Fred") Brown, together stipulate that:

- The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 1. 2901 Franklin Avenue in Waco, McLennan County, Texas (the "Facility").
- The Respondent's four underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded 2. from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction 3. to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on 4. or about April 14, 2013.
- The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not 5. constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Five Hundred Four Dollars 6. (\$8,504) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section

II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Eighty-Nine Dollars (\$189) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$1,700) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Six Thousand Six Hundred Fifteen Dollars (\$6,615) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Eighty-Nine Dollars (\$189) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. Conducted the annual piping tightness and line leak detector testing on December 21, 2012, with passing results;
 - b. Implemented a proper release detection method for all USTs at the Facility on April 30, 2013;
 - c. Submitted documentation demonstrating that all UST records are being maintained at the Facility on June 5, 2013; and
 - d. Repaired the corrosion protection system at the Facility and tested the system on July 1, 2013, with passing results.
- The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 12, 2012 and a record review conducted on April 9, 2013.
- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 12, 2012 and a record review conducted on April 9, 2013.
- 3. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 12, 2012. Specifically, the Respondent had not conducted the annual piping tightness and line leak detector testing.
- 4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(1)(B), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 12, 2012 and a record review conducted on April 9, 2013.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1, Docket No. 2013-0763-PST-E" to:

> Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 6. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.

7. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	2/11/14 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the a agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified therei accepting payment for the penalty amount, is material	entity indicated below my signature, and I in. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications so Referral of this case to the Attorney General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or Increased penalties in any future enforcement Automatic referral to the Attorney General's and 	result in: abmitted; ral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, to a collection agency; t actions; s Office of any future enforcement actions;
 TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by la- In addition, any falsification of any compliance docu- 	
Signature	November 20,2013 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Burney Enterprises Inc dba Clark Store 1	President Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.